UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,420	06/14/2006	Yoshihiro Goto	1141/76407	1687
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 07/08/201 J NHAM. LLP	EXAMINER		
30 Rockefeller		HEIDEMANN, JASON E		
20th Floor NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/583,420	GOTO, YOSHIHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason Heidemann	2624		

	Jason Heidemann	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	.001100
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ⁻¹		scied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 11 1 6 6 6 11 N		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Jason Heidemann/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the claims received on 06/20/2010 will not be entered, specifically since, applicant has amended each of the independent claims, with the limitation as recited in Claim 1,

"(j) obtaining, by the image processing apparatus, a second closed contour similar to the first closed contour by enlarging or reducing the first closed contour; and (k) extracting, by the image processing apparatus, a region including a stratified region held between the first closed contour and the second closed contour. ", further, although not indicated in the claims, applicant deleted the line from the current pending claim 1, "so that at least two selected element graphics overlap with each other"

And in regards to the limitations recited in claim 14, applicant added "(q) enlarging or reducing one or more partial regions with a predetermined magnification; (r) combining the one or more enlarged or reduced partial regions, and synthesizing at least a part of a desired region being enlarged or reduced; (s) making at least a partial contour of at least a part of the enlarged or reduced desired region similar to the first closed contour as a second closed contour; (t) extracting, by the image processing apparatus, a region including at least a stratified region held between the first closed contour and the second closed contour", and further, although not indicated in the claims, applicant deleted the line from the current pending claim 14

And in regards to the limitations recited in claim 20, applicant added "(q) enlarging or reducing one or more partial regions with a predetermined magnification; (r) combining the one or more enlarged or reduced partial regions, and synthesizing at least a part of a desired region being enlarged or reduced; (s) making at least a partial contour of at least a part of the enlarged or reduced desired region similar to the first closed contour as a second closed contour; (t) extracting, by the image processing apparatus, a region including at least a stratified region held between the first closed contour and the second closed contour", and further, although not indicated in the claims, applicant deleted the line from the current pending claim 19. Further, although not indicated in the claims, applicant deleted the line from the current pending claim 19, "at least two selections of element graphics, and the calculating means, for each of the at least two selected element graphics, approximates at least a partial contour of the selected element graphics to at least a partial contour of the desired region, so that at least two selected element graphics overlap with each other" where the underlined claim limitations, and the deletions to the independent claims require further consideration and/or search to determine patentability.